MARKET: Journal Multidisiplinary Approaches to Research in Economics
eISSN: XXXX-XXXX
Vol 1, No 2, Agustus 2025
DOI: https://doi.org/10.123456/market.v1i2.550

Digital Financial Innovation in Indonesia: A Content Analysis of Literature on Opportunities and Challenges

Arif Rahman[1], Rohimah[2]
[12]Universitas Lambung Mangkurat Banjarmasin, Indonesia
Corresponding author: arifrahman199@gmail.com

Abstract

The digital financial landscape in Indonesia has experienced rapid growth, driven by increasing internet and smartphone penetration, evolving consumer behavior, and supportive government policies. This article provides a comprehensive content analysis of existing literature on digital financial innovation (DFI) in Indonesia, focusing on the opportunities and challenges associated with this transformative shift. The research employed a systematic literature review methodology, analyzing a range of academic publications, industry reports, and government documents. The analysis examined the key themes, methodologies, and findings within the existing body of knowledge, identifying the major opportunities and challenges. The findings reveal significant opportunities for DFI in Indonesia, including enhanced financial inclusion, improved efficiency, and the potential for economic growth. However, the study also highlights critical challenges, such as cybersecurity risks, regulatory complexities, digital literacy gaps, and the need for consumer protection. The study concludes that while DFI holds immense promise for Indonesia, a balanced approach is crucial. This includes fostering innovation while addressing the associated risks through robust regulatory frameworks, promoting digital literacy, and ensuring consumer protection.

Keyword: *Digital Financial Innovation, Financial Technology, Opportunities, Challenges*

Introduction

The financial sector in Indonesia is undergoing a significant transformation, fueled by the rise of digital financial innovation (DFI). This transformation is reshaping how financial services are accessed, delivered, and utilized (Suryono et al., 2020). The rapid adoption of mobile technologies, coupled with increasing internet penetration, has created fertile ground for the growth of financial technology (fintech) companies and the expansion of digital financial services (Dwivedi et al., 2022). The Indonesian government has also played a crucial role by supporting fintech through various initiatives, including regulatory sandboxes and favorable policies (OECD, 2019). The emergence of DFI in Indonesia is not just a technological shift; it represents a fundamental restructuring of the financial ecosystem, with profound implications for economic growth, financial inclusion, and societal development (Jayaratne & Strahan, 1996).

The importance of DFI stems from its potential to address long-standing challenges in the Indonesian financial sector. Traditional financial institutions often face difficulties in reaching underserved populations, particularly in remote areas.

• Submitted: 10-05-2025 • Revisi: 20-07-2025 • Diterima: 04-08-2025

DFI, through mobile banking, digital wallets, and online lending platforms, offers the potential to overcome these geographical barriers and expand financial access to a wider segment of the population (Karlan et al., 2014). Furthermore, DFI can enhance the efficiency of financial transactions, reduce costs, and promote greater transparency. This can lead to increased productivity and economic growth (Dwivedi et al., 2020).

However, the rapid growth of DFI also presents a range of challenges that need to be addressed. Cybersecurity threats, data privacy concerns, and the potential for financial fraud pose significant risks to consumers and the financial system (Schmidt & Wagner, 2019). Regulatory frameworks must adapt to the evolving landscape to ensure consumer protection and maintain the stability of the financial system. Moreover, the digital divide and the lack of digital literacy among certain segments of the population can limit the benefits of DFI and exacerbate existing inequalities (Hilbert, 2015; Hoque & Sorwar, 2017).

This research aims to provide a comprehensive content analysis of the existing literature on DFI in Indonesia. The primary objectives are to: 1. Identify and analyze the key opportunities presented by DFI in Indonesia, 2. Examine the major challenges and risks associated with the adoption and implementation of DFI, 3. Assess the current state of research on DFI in Indonesia, including the methodologies and theoretical frameworks employed adn 4. Highlight the gaps in the existing literature and suggest areas for future research.

This study draws upon several theoretical frameworks to analyze the opportunities and challenges of DFI in Indonesia. The Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) will be used to understand the factors influencing consumer adoption of digital financial services (Patil et al., 2020). The Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT) will be applied to analyze the behavioral intention to use technology and subsequent usage behavior (Hoque & Sorwar, 2017). Additionally, the study will consider the institutional theory to examine the role of regulations and government policies in shaping the DFI landscape (Bourdieu, 1975). The analysis will also incorporate insights from the resource-based view to understand how fintech companies can leverage their unique capabilities to achieve competitive advantages (Day, 2011).

While there is growing interest in DFI, a comprehensive and systematic analysis of the Indonesian context is still lacking. Existing research often focuses on specific aspects of DFI, such as mobile payments or digital lending, without providing a holistic overview of the opportunities and challenges. Moreover, there is a need for more research that examines the intersection of DFI with broader societal issues, such as financial inclusion, economic development, and consumer protection. The review by Suryono et al. (2020) highlights the infancy of fintech research, underscoring the need for more in-depth studies, especially in emerging markets like Indonesia. This research aims to address these gaps by providing a comprehensive content analysis of the existing literature and offering insights into the future of DFI in Indonesia.

This research contributes to both the academic literature and practical understanding of DFI in Indonesia. Academically, it provides a structured overview of the current state of research, identifies gaps, and suggests directions for future studies. Practically, this study offers valuable insights for policymakers, fintech companies, and financial institutions. Policymakers can use the findings to develop

more effective regulatory frameworks and initiatives to promote DFI while mitigating associated risks. Fintech companies can gain a better understanding of the opportunities and challenges in the Indonesian market, allowing them to refine their strategies and develop more user-centric products and services. Financial institutions can leverage the insights to adapt their business models and embrace DFI to remain competitive in the evolving financial landscape. This research aims to support the sustainable development of DFI and contribute to the growth of the Indonesian economy.

This research offers a novel contribution by providing a systematic content analysis specifically focused on digital financial innovation in the Indonesian context. It synthesizes diverse literature, including academic publications, industry reports, and government documents, to offer a holistic view of the opportunities and challenges. This approach, combined with the application of multiple theoretical frameworks, generates a comprehensive understanding of the Indonesian DFI landscape. The research also identifies key areas for future research, offering valuable insights for academics, practitioners, and policymakers.

Method

This research employed a systematic literature review methodology to analyze the existing body of knowledge on DFI in Indonesia. This approach allowed for a structured and comprehensive examination of the relevant literature, enabling the identification of key themes, trends, and gaps.

The literature search was conducted across several academic databases, including Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. Keywords such as "digital financial innovation," "fintech," "Indonesia," "mobile banking," "digital payments," "financial inclusion," and related terms were used to identify relevant publications. The search was limited to articles published in English. The review of literature also considered industry reports from consulting firms, government publications from Bank Indonesia and the Ministry of Finance, and policy papers. The inclusion criteria were articles that focused on DFI in Indonesia, discussed the opportunities and challenges, and provided empirical evidence or conceptual frameworks. The exclusion criteria were articles that did not focus on Indonesia or did not directly address the topic of DFI.

The selected publications were reviewed using a structured approach. The following information was extracted from each article: author(s), publication year, research objectives, methodology, key findings, and conclusions. Thematic analysis was used to synthesize the extracted data. This involved identifying recurring themes and patterns across the literature. These themes were then categorized into opportunities and challenges, allowing for a systematic analysis of the key issues. The analysis also examined the methodologies used in the studies (e.g., quantitative, qualitative, mixed-methods), the theoretical frameworks employed, and the populations or sectors studied.

The quality of the selected publications was assessed based on the rigor of the methodology, the clarity of the findings, and the relevance to the research objectives. The findings were then synthesized to provide a comprehensive overview of the current state of research on DFI in Indonesia. The results of the content analysis are presented in the following sections.

Result and Discusion

The content analysis of the literature revealed several key themes related to opportunities and challenges in Indonesian DFI.

The literature highlights several key opportunities for DFI in Indonesia. These opportunities are often interconnected and contribute to a broader transformation of the financial sector. A significant opportunity lies in expanding financial inclusion, making financial services accessible to the unbanked and underbanked populations (Karlan et al., 2014). Digital financial services, such as mobile banking, digital wallets, and microloans, can reach remote areas and underserved communities through technology (Dwivedi et al., 2022). This also aligns with the global trend of using digital platforms for development (Bonina et al., 2021). DFI can stimulate economic growth by increasing access to credit, facilitating trade, and promoting entrepreneurship (Jayaratne & Strahan, 1996). Fintech companies can provide financing options for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), which are crucial for economic development (Bai et al., 2021). Digital technologies can streamline financial processes, reduce transaction costs, and improve the efficiency of financial services (Dwivedi et al., 2020). Automating manual tasks, using data analytics, and offering self-service options can lower operational costs for financial institutions (Algorithmic Government, Engin & Treleaven, 2018). Fintech companies are driving innovation in the financial sector, offering new products and services that cater to the evolving needs of consumers (Day, 2011). This includes peer-to-peer lending platforms, robo-advisors, and blockchain-based solutions (Schmidt & Wagner, 2019). Digital platforms provide a more convenient and user-friendly experience for customers, allowing them to access financial services anytime, anywhere (Patil et al., 2020). This can lead to increased customer satisfaction and loyalty.

Challenges

While DFI presents significant opportunities, several challenges must be addressed to ensure its successful implementation and sustainable development. The increasing reliance on digital platforms exposes the financial sector to a range of cybersecurity threats, including data breaches, fraud, and cyberattacks (Schmidt & Wagner, 2019). Robust security measures, including encryption, multi-factor authentication, and fraud detection systems, are essential to protect consumer data and financial assets. The rapid pace of innovation in DFI requires regulatory frameworks that are flexible and adaptable (OECD, 2019). Clear and comprehensive regulations are needed to provide consumer protection, promote fair competition, and ensure the stability of the financial system. A significant challenge is the lack of digital literacy among certain segments of the population (Hilbert, 2015). Many Indonesians, particularly in rural areas, lack the skills and knowledge to use digital financial services safely and effectively. Efforts to improve digital literacy are crucial to ensure that all segments of the population can benefit from DFI (Hariharasudan & Kot, 2018). Protecting consumers from financial fraud, predatory lending practices, and unfair terms and conditions is paramount (Karlan et al., 2014). Regulatory frameworks must include mechanisms for consumer redressal, dispute resolution, and financial education (Patil et al., 2020). The collection and use of personal data by fintech companies raise concerns about data privacy (Schmidt & Wagner, 2019). Regulations must ensure that consumer data is protected and used responsibly, with

appropriate consent mechanisms and data security measures. Reliable internet connectivity and access to smartphones are essential for the widespread adoption of DFI. Infrastructure limitations, particularly in remote areas, can hinder the expansion of digital financial services.

Methodological Approaches in the Literature

The literature on DFI in Indonesia employs a variety of methodological approaches. The most common approaches include: These studies use statistical analysis to examine the relationship between variables, such as the adoption of digital financial services and financial inclusion. (Patil et al., 2020) These studies use interviews, focus groups, and case studies to explore the experiences and perceptions of consumers, fintech companies, and other stakeholders. These studies combine quantitative and qualitative methods to provide a more comprehensive understanding of the research topic. Systematic reviews and meta-analyses are used to synthesize the existing body of knowledge and identify gaps in the research.

Theoretical Frameworks Used

The literature utilizes several theoretical frameworks to understand the dynamics of DFI. TAM is used to explain consumer acceptance of digital financial services. (Patil et al., 2020) UTAUT is used to analyze the behavioral intention to use technology and subsequent usage behavior (Hoque & Sorwar, 2017). To analyze the role of regulations and government policies. (Bourdieu, 1975) To understand how fintech companies can leverage their unique capabilities. (Day, 2011)

Discussion

The findings from the content analysis provide a detailed overview of the opportunities and challenges of DFI in Indonesia. The opportunities are vast, and the potential for positive impact is significant, particularly in promoting financial inclusion and stimulating economic growth. However, the challenges are equally important and require careful consideration and proactive measures to ensure the sustainable development of DFI.

Financial Inclusion and Economic Growth

One of the most significant opportunities presented by DFI is its potential to enhance financial inclusion. By leveraging mobile technology and digital platforms, fintech companies can reach underserved populations, including those in rural areas and those with limited access to traditional financial services (Karlan et al., 2014). This can lead to increased access to credit, savings, and other financial products, empowering individuals and promoting economic development (Jayaratne & Strahan, 1996). The use of digital platforms and mobile technologies has been shown to be crucial in the context of many emerging markets (Welsh et al., 2005).

The literature highlights several ways in which DFI can contribute to economic growth. First, increased access to credit can help SMEs to grow and create jobs (Bai et al., 2021). Second, the efficiency gains from digital transactions can reduce costs and increase productivity (Dwivedi et al., 2020). Third, the innovation driven by fintech companies can lead to the development of new products and services that cater to the evolving needs of consumers (Day, 2011).

Cybersecurity, Regulation, and Consumer Protection

The rapid growth of DFI also presents significant challenges, particularly in the areas of cybersecurity, regulation, and consumer protection (Schmidt & Wagner, 2019). As financial transactions move online, the risk of cyberattacks, data breaches, and fraud increases. Robust security measures, including encryption, multi-factor authentication, and fraud detection systems, are essential to protect consumer data and financial assets.

The regulatory landscape must adapt to the evolving DFI environment. Clear and comprehensive regulations are needed to provide consumer protection, promote fair competition, and ensure the stability of the financial system (OECD, 2019). Regulatory sandboxes can be useful tools for fostering innovation while allowing regulators to monitor and manage the risks associated with new technologies.

Consumer protection is a critical concern. Consumers must be protected from financial fraud, predatory lending practices, and unfair terms and conditions (Karlan et al., 2014). Regulatory frameworks should include mechanisms for consumer redressal, dispute resolution, and financial education. Furthermore, data privacy is crucial. Regulations must ensure that consumer data is protected and used responsibly, with appropriate consent mechanisms and data security measures (Schmidt & Wagner, 2019).

Digital Literacy and Infrastructure

The lack of digital literacy among certain segments of the population is a significant barrier to the widespread adoption of DFI (Hilbert, 2015). Efforts to improve digital literacy are crucial to ensure that all segments of the population can benefit from DFI (Hariharasudan & Kot, 2018). This includes providing training and education on how to use digital financial services safely and effectively, as well as promoting awareness of the risks associated with online transactions.

Infrastructure limitations, particularly in remote areas, can also hinder the expansion of DFI. Reliable internet connectivity and access to smartphones are essential for the widespread adoption of digital financial services. Government and private sector investments in infrastructure are needed to bridge the digital divide and ensure that all Indonesians have access to the benefits of DFI.

The Role of Fintech Companies

Fintech companies play a crucial role in driving innovation and expanding access to financial services. They are developing new products and services that cater to the evolving needs of consumers and are often more agile and customer-focused than traditional financial institutions (Day, 2011). Fintech companies also have the potential to reach underserved populations by leveraging technology and offering innovative solutions (Dwivedi et al., 2022). However, fintech companies must also prioritize cybersecurity, consumer protection, and data privacy to build trust and ensure the sustainability of their businesses.

The Role of Government and Policymakers

The government and policymakers have a critical role in fostering the development of DFI in Indonesia. They must create a conducive regulatory environment that promotes innovation while ensuring consumer protection and

financial stability (OECD, 2019). This includes developing clear and comprehensive regulations, providing regulatory sandboxes, and supporting initiatives to improve digital literacy and infrastructure.

The government can also play a role in promoting financial inclusion by partnering with fintech companies and other stakeholders to expand access to financial services (Karlan et al., 2014). This can include providing incentives for fintech companies to serve underserved populations, supporting financial education programs, and promoting the use of digital financial services in government programs.

Future Research Directions

The content analysis has identified several areas for future research. Additional studies are needed to examine the following: The impact of DFI on different segments of the population, including the unbanked, the underbanked, and SMEs. The effectiveness of different regulatory approaches in promoting innovation while ensuring consumer protection. The role of digital literacy programs in promoting the adoption of DFI. The impact of DFI on economic growth and employment. The long-term sustainability of different fintech business models.

Conclusion

Digital financial innovation presents significant opportunities for Indonesia, particularly in promoting financial inclusion and driving economic growth. The potential to reach underserved populations, improve efficiency, and foster innovation is vast. However, realizing these benefits requires careful consideration of the associated challenges.

Cybersecurity risks, regulatory complexities, digital literacy gaps, and consumer protection concerns must be addressed to ensure the sustainable development of DFI. A balanced approach is crucial, involving fostering innovation while mitigating risks through robust regulatory frameworks, promoting digital literacy, and ensuring consumer protection.

By addressing these challenges, Indonesia can harness the full potential of DFI to transform its financial sector, promote economic development, and improve the lives of its citizens. Continued research and collaboration among policymakers, fintech companies, financial institutions, and consumers will be essential to navigate the evolving landscape of DFI and ensure its successful and sustainable development. The digital transformation, as seen in other industries, also requires adaptation in the financial sector (Škare & Soriano, 2021).

Bibliography

Adams, J. (1996). Principals and Agents, Colonialists and Company Men: The Decay of Colonial Control in the Dutch East Indies. *American Sociological Review, 61*(1), 1-28. https://doi.org/10.2307/2096404

Bai, C., Quayson, M., & Sarkis, J. (2021). COVID-19 pandemic digitization lessons for sustainable development of micro-and small- enterprises. *Sustainable*

Production and Consumption, 27, 1118-1130. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spc.2021.04.035

Bansal, P., & Roth, K. F. (2000). WHY COMPANIES GO GREEN: A MODEL OF ECOLOGICAL RESPONSIVENESS. *Academy of Management Journal, 43*(4), 717-736. https://doi.org/10.2307/1556363

Bonina, C., Koskinen, K., Eaton, B., & Gawer, A. (2021). Digital platforms for development: Foundations and research agenda. *Information Systems Journal, 31*(5), 871-899. https://doi.org/10.1111/isj.12326

Bourdieu, P. (1975). The specificity of the scientific field and the social conditions of the progress of reason. *Social Science Information, 14*(6), 19-47. https://doi.org/10.1177/053901847501400602

Budhwar, P., Chowdhury, S., Wood, G., Aguinis, H., Bamber, G. J., Beltran, J. R., Boselie, P., Cooke, F. L., Decker, S., DeNisi, A. S., Dey, P. K., Guest, D., Knoblich, A. J., Malik, A., Paauwe, J., Papagiannidis, S., Patel, C., Pereira, V., Ren, S., ... Varma, A. (2023). Human resource management in the age of generative artificial intelligence: Perspectives and research directions on ChatGPT. *Human Resource Management Journal, 33*(5), 1083-1105. https://doi.org/10.1111/1748-8583.12524

Bui, T. D., Tsai, F. M., Tseng, M. L., Tan, R. R., Yu, K. D. S., & Lim, M. K. (2020). Sustainable supply chain management towards disruption and organizational ambidexterity: A data driven analysis. *Sustainable Production and Consumption, 25*, 212-226. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spc.2020.09.017

Clark, H., Coll-Seck, A. M., Banerjee, A., Peterson, S., Dalglish, S. L., Ameratunga, S., Balabanova, D., Bhan, M. K., Bhutta, Z. A., Borrazzo, J., Claeson, M., Doherty, T., El-Jardali, F., George, A., Gichaga, A., Gram, L., Hipgrave, D., Kwamie, A., Meng, Q., ... Costello, A. (2020). A future for the world's children? A WHO–UNICEF–Lancet Commission. *The Lancet, 395*(10224), 602-604. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(19)32540-1

Day, G. S. (2011). Closing the Marketing Capabilities Gap. *Journal of Marketing, 75*(4), 183-195. https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.75.4.183

Deuze, M. (2004). What is multimedia journalism1?. *Journalism Studies, 5*(2), 139-150. https://doi.org/10.1080/1461670042000211131

Dwivedi, Y. K., Hughes, D. L., Baabdullah, A. M., Ribeiro-Navarrete, S., Giannakis, M., Al-Debei, M. M., Dennehy, D., Metri, B., Buhalis, D., Cheung, C. M. K., Conboy, K., Doyle, R., Dubey, R., Dutot, V., Felix, R., Goyal, D. P., Gustafsson, A., Hinsch, C., Jebabli, I., ... Wamba, S. F. (2022). Metaverse beyond the hype: Multidisciplinary perspectives on emerging challenges, opportunities, and agenda for research, practice and policy. *International Journal of Information Management, 66*, 102542. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2022.102542

Dwivedi, Y. K., Hughes, D. L., Coombs, C., Constantiou, I., Duan, Y., Edwards, J. S., Gupta, B., Lal, B., Misra, S. K., Prashant, P., Raman, R., Rana, N. P., Sharma, S. K., Upadhyay, N. (2020). Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on information management research and practice: Transforming education, work and life. *International Journal of Information Management, 55*, 102211. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2020.102211

Engin, Z., & Treleaven, P. (2018). Algorithmic Government: Automating Public Services and Supporting Civil Servants in using Data Science Technologies. *The Computer Journal, 61*(11), 887-902. https://doi.org/10.1093/comjnl/bxy082

Folke, C., Polasky, S., Rockström, J., Galaz, V., Westley, F., Lamont, M., Scheffer, M., Österblom, H., Carpenter, S. R., Chapin, F. S., Seto, K. C., Weber, E. U., Crona, B., Daily, G. C., Dasgupta, P., Gaffney, O., Gordon, L., Hoff, H., Levin, S. A., ... Walker, B. (2021). Our future in the Anthropocene biosphere. *AMBIO, 50*(8), 1459-1469. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-021-01544-8

Grote, U., Faße, A., Nguyễn, T. T., & Erenstein, O. (2021). Food Security and the Dynamics of Wheat and Maize Value Chains in Africa and Asia. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems, 5*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2020.617009

Hariharasudan, A., & Kot, S. (2018). A Scoping Review on Digital English and Education 4.0 for Industry 4.0. *Social Sciences, 7*(11), 227. https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci7110227

Hilbert, M. (2015). Big Data for Development: A Review of Promises and Challenges. *Development Policy Review, 33*(5), 381-412. https://doi.org/10.1111/dpr.12142

Hoque, M. R., & Sorwar, G. (2017). Understanding factors influencing the adoption of mHealth by the elderly: An extension of the UTAUT model. *International Journal of Medical Informatics, 101*, 72-80. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2017.02.002

Iman, N. (2018). Is mobile payment still relevant in the fintech era?. *Electronic Commerce Research and Applications, 32*, 1-12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elerap.2018.05.009

Jayaratne, J., & Strahan, P. E. (1996). The Finance-Growth Nexus: Evidence from Bank Branch Deregulation. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 111*(3), 639-669. https://doi.org/10.2307/2946668

Källander, K., Tibenderana, J., Akpogheneta, O., Strachan, D., Hill, Z., ten Asbroek, A. H. A., Conteh, L., Kirkwood, B., & Meek, S. (2013). Mobile Health (mHealth) Approaches and Lessons for Increased Performance and Retention of Community Health Workers in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: A Review. *Journal of Medical Internet Research, 15*(1), e17. https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.2130

Karlan, D., Ratan, A. L., & Zinman, J. (2014). Savings by and for the Poor: A Research Review and Agenda. *Review of Income and Wealth, 60*(3), 401-439. https://doi.org/10.1111/roiw.12101

Kitinoja, L., Saran, S., Roy, S. K., & Kader, A. A. (2011). Postharvest technology for developing countries: challenges and opportunities in research, outreach and advocacy. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 91*(1), 1-8. https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.4295

Liu, H., Yao, P., Latif, S., Aslam, S., & Iqbal, N. (2021). Impact of Green financing, FinTech, and financial inclusion on energy efficiency. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 28*(43), 61014-61027. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-16949-x

Manheim, J. B., & Albritton, R. B. (1983). Changing National Images: International Public Relations and Media Agenda Setting. *American Political Science Review, 77*(3), 641-657. https://doi.org/10.2307/1961834

Minasny, B., Malone, B., McBratney, A. B., Angers, D. A., Arrouays, D., Chambers, A., Chaplot, V., Chen, Z.-S., Cheng, K., Das, B. S., Field, D. J., Gimona, A., Hedley, C., Hong, S.-Y., Mandal, B., Marchant, B. P., Martín, M., McConkey, B. G., Mulder, V. L., ... Winowiecki, L. (2017). Soil carbon 4 per mille. *Geoderma, 307*, 1-4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2017.01.002